UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION



)
)
In the Matter of)

MSC.Software Corporation

Docket No. 9299

UNITED TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR A FURTHER EXTENTION OF TIME TO SEEK *IN CAMERA* TREATMENT OF DOCUMENTS

United Technologies Corporation ("UTC") hereby moves for a further extension time to file a motion for in camera treatment of documents it has produced in the above-referenced matter. UTC is a third party to these proceedings and has cooperated and continues to cooperate with both the Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") and MSC.Software Corporation ("MSC") by producing documents totaling almost 2,000 pages. Out of this number, Complaint Counsel and Counsel for MSC have identified approximately 550 pages as potential trial exhibits. On June 4, 2002, UTC filed its Unopposed Motion for Extension of Time to Seek In Camera Treatment of Documents. UTC requested this extension to allow the individuals at UTC most familiar with the documents produced the opportunity to review the documents identified as potential trial exhibits for information that

2002 deadline. Therefore, UTC requests a ten-day extension until June 28, 2002 of the deadline by which it may file a possible motion for *in camera* treatment pursuant to Commission Rule of Practice 3.45. UTC notes that Boeing Company has been granted an extension until June 21, 2002 to file its motion for *in camera* treatment, and UTC seeks a marginal extension beyond that date.

Counsel for UTC has discussed this motion for an extension of time with Complaint Counsel and Counsel for MSC, who do not oppose it. Neither Complaint Counsel nor Counsel for MSC waives the right to oppose a motion by UTC for in camera treatment of specific documents if such a motion is in fact filed.

Granting UTC's motion will result in the efficient administration of justice. This extension will permit UTC and the parties to this litigation the opportunity to reach a mutually agreeable solution as to how to treat the sensitive information contained in these six documents. Further discussions may allow the parties to agree on suitable reductions that will protect UTC's proprietary information and information that UTC is obliged to withhold unless permission is granted by the DoD. If UTC's deadline is not extended, it might result in a motion seeking in camera treatment and a further application for DoD permission, which could result in even greater delays.

Respectfully submitted,

Wr. Randolph Smith

David M. Schnorrenberg Michael G. Van Arsdall

Crowell & Moring LLP

1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.

Washington, DC 20007

Telephone: (202) 624-2500 Facsimile: (202) 628-5116

Counsel for United Technologies Corporation

Dated: June 17, 2002

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on June 17, 2002, I caused a paper original and one copy as well as an electronic version of the foregoing Unopposed Motion for a Further Extension of Time to Seek *In Camera* Treatment of Documents to be filed with the Secretary of the Commission and two paper copies to be served by hand delivery, upon:

The Honorable D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge Federal Trade Commission 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20580

and one paper copy to be served upon the following counsel by hand delivery:

P. Abbott McCartney Federal Trade Commission 601 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20580

Bradford Biegon Kirkland & Ellis 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005

Michael G. Van Arsdall

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

In the Matter of MSC.Software Corporation)))))) Docket No. 9299))))
UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR	D TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION'S R A FURTHER EXTENTION OF TIME TREATMENT OF DOCUMENTS
Upon consideration of United	Technologies Corporation's ("UTC") unopposed
motion for a further extension time t	to file a motion for in camera treatment of
documents, it is hereby ORDERED t	hat UTC's Motion is GRANTED.
IT IS FURTHER ORDERD, O	ast UTC has until June 28, 2002 to file a motion
for in camera treatment pursuant to	Commission Rule of Practice 3.45.
	D. Michael Chappell Administrative Law Judge

Dated: June ____, 2002